Secrt. M-46—36,702—27-11-23.

Land (1924) Department.
(Education)

SERIES.

G.O. No. 109

DATED 23 1924.

[Despatch Abstract.]

U.F. 29—8,000—28-3-22.

DEPARTMENT.

Received 9-DEC-1923 192

Registered CURRENT NO. 5830 192

Subject.

Subsidy.

Application for reallotment of the ----- of Rs 3521.

L.Cu 55-90 A
11/12/23

II.Sy No. 229/23
Sup. L.T.B.

Spare copies Nil

Station Udipi. Dated the 30th November 1923.

1899
DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE, SOUTH KANARA
Cbo 37/4/23
DEC 5 1923
MANGALORE

From (Name) M.R.Ry. Lakshminarayana Rao Avl., B.A.B.L.

(Designation) Vice President in charge under section 23(2), Taluk Board, Udipi.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law (Education) Department.

~~Sir,~~ Through The President, District Board, South Kanara.

Sir,

Referring to G.O. No.1595/ Misc. dated the 12th November 1923, I have the honour to state that the grant due to this Taluk Board under section 37 of the Madras Elementary Education Act is Rs 10,676-7-9 but the Government has allotted only a total sum of Rs 7,155, the difference seems to represent the recovery of the Government grant equal to the Education Cess Rs 3521-8-1 wrongly credited to the Taluk Board for April and May '21 and refunded in the current official year as per G.O.No 181 L.A. Misc. dated 19th January 1923. As the amount of subsidy now recovered (Rs 3521-8-1) was actually

spent in the year 1922-23 and as credit for the full amount of Rs 10,676 has been taken in the revised budget of the --- Elementary Education Fund for the current official year,-- I request that the Government will be pleased to reallot - the amount of Rs.3521/-.I beg to submit that the several -- items of expenditure cannot be curtailed at this late period of the year without detriment to the administration and as such, the Government may be pleased to accord their kind -- sanction.

Notify gr received

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Vice President.

30/11

30.11.23.

N. Dis on C.No 3714 /23
D B

Endorsement by M. R. Ry .A. B. Shetty Avargal,

President, Delegate, District Board, South Kanara,

Forwarded

President Delegate
District Board, South
Kanara.

Mangalore, 5th December 1923

Mo.

3

Law (Edn) Dept

Subject: Govt contribution under section 37 of the S.E. Act to certain TBs

(G.O. No. 5540A) from the P.T.B. Udipi No 229 dt 30.11.23

In para 3 of his u.o note No 33-7-4541 dt 12.10.23, the Examiner of Local funds Accounts reported that he had made a reference to the P.T.B S Kanara regarding the unauthorised collections made by the Udipi & other TBs in S. Kanara He may be requested to state whether he has received a reply from the P.T.B. & if so to forward a copy thereof together with his remarks thereon

pp 13-14 of G.O. 1595 dt 12-11-23

1898

2. The Examiner may also be asked to state when his further communication with reference to paras 2 & 4 of the u.o note may be expected

-do

14/12/23 14.12.23 15/12/23 Ex LA.

No. dated -1-24.

Copies of the replies received from the Presidents of Taluk Boards of Karkal, Kasargod, Mangalore, Udipi and Uiinangadi are herewith forwarded. They show the amounts of unauthorised collections noted in para. 2 of the Law Edn U.O. reference No.4154-A-1 dated 21-9-1923 to be correct, and they are reported to have been refunded in the current year, viz., 1923-24.

Enc. 2 No. 747 14.12.23

In sanctioning the grants to the above board for the year 1922-23, the Govt. have excluded the amounts of unauthorised collections from the amount of tax collected during the year, and authorised

the payment of only the net amount--vide G.O. No. 1595 Mis. Law (Edn) Department dated 12-11-1923. The grant for the year 1923-24 shall have therefore to be fixed with reference to the amount of tax collected during the year, leaving out of account the deductions noted under the head "Elementary Education tax" in the accounts of 1923-24 in respect of the amounts of unauthorised collections referred to above.

This is for the Executive to bear in mind when Executive proposes next year to levy from a ~~[illegible]~~ Cess (which equivalent to the ~~[illegible]~~ of the tax for 1923-24

(2) The Presidents of Udipi and Mangalore Taluk Boards point out that with the amount of reduced grant sanctioned for payment during the year 1923-24 they may not be able to meet the expenditure budgetted for the year. If the Presidents cannot reduce the expenditure of 1923-24 it is not likely that they will be in a position to curtail the expenditure for 1924-25 also to the extent of the full amount of unauthorised collections made. In case a portion of the amount recovered under the above G.O. of 12-11-1923 is ordered to be ~~[illegible]~~ refunded, a corresponding deduction will have to be made from the amount of collections of the education tax during the year 1923-24 for the purpose of grant to be sanctioned for that year.

(3) The presidents of Wynad and Perambalur Taluk Boards have replied. The former board did not collect education tax during the year 1922-23 The figure of Rs.5,162-8-0 shown against the latter board in the statement furnished by this office is correct, the difference between the President's figure and the Trichinopoly Treasury Deputy Collector's figure noticed by this office being due to the inclusion of the collections of April and May 1923 in the figure reported to this office by the President.

(4) The reply from the President, District

Board

Board, Ganjam regarding the differences relating to the figures of the Taluk Boards in that district has not yet been received. He was specially reminded and a telegram has also been issued to him to expedite his reply. On receipt of it, the necessary report will be made regarding these taluk boards.

A

R. No. 99A
7/1/24

7-JAN-1924
LAW DEPARTMENT

5/1/24

Secy
Law (Edn.) Dept. No.

Ext. No. 797-1
5-1-1924.

ard,Sanjan regarding the differences relating to the figures of the 'aim' towards a that di district has not yet been received. He was specially reminded and a telegram has also been issued to him to expedite his reply. On receipt of it, the necessary report will be made regarding these Sahar boarc

CE

Paras 1 & 2 of the Examiner's note on pp 1-2 ante

The Udipi Dk Bd requests that the Sum of Rs 3521 wrongly adjusted in its favour (as proceeds of ex-tax) and subsequently ordered to be refunded, may now be re-allotted to it. Though the Dk Bd is a tax levying body, it was allowed to contribute from its general revenues a sum of ~~only~~ Rs 8,940 only for each of the years 1922-23 & 1923-24 against Rs 13,127 for (contributed in) 1920-21. The sum of Rs 3,521 now in question may very well be met from the Board's general revenues by an increased ~~in~~ contribution to the E.E. fund or ~~at~~ by curtailing the expenditure of 1923-24. Draft negativing the Board's request is submitted. It may be sent to L&R for acceptance

~~in Mangalore Dk Bd. The question as it relates to this Dk Bd, is dealt with in the foln~~

2. Para (3) of the Examiner's note p. 2 ante - further action is necessary

p. 3 ante

3. Para (4) of the Examiner's note on p. 2 ante report referred to at A in may be awaited

19 JAN 1924

h. Ex 366 A
19/1/24

Law Dept. 5570 A-2
16.1.24.

L.S.G.
16.1.24

S.G. No 1410-1 Dom

11/1/24

12.1.24

12.1.24

15/1/24

L&R

Sir, the draft may be a-mended & the file returned to Law Dept.

L.&R.

18.1.24

Law Dept/no

Secr. II-10 (a)—26,596 -11-4-21.

Law (Edn) DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..	23/1	
When examined	23/1	
When signed ..		
When despatched		23/1

Law - Edn

Edipi DRB - amount wrongly adjusted to LA refunded by it subsequently - Reallotment declined.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated ..... 192 .

" " " " ..... " ..... 192 .

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 55904, From the ....., No. ....., dated 192

" " " " ..... " ..... " 192

" " " " ..... " ..... " 192

ORDER.

No. 109, dated 23 1. 1926

Mis

The Govt are unable to cut the request of the DRB. Edipi, the the Sum of Rs 3,521 which was wr adjusted in its favour as proceed education tax and which was Su quently refunded by it, should reallotted to the board.

11/1/24 12.1.24 12.1.24 15/1/2

To
The P.T.B. Edipi (with ref to his letter 229/23 of 30.11.23)
P DRB S. Kanara (Edn)
Ex. of LFA
DPI
Law